arXiv:1605.00393v1 [math.SP] 2 May 2016

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF TWO DOUBLY INFINITE JACOBI MATRICES WITH EXPONENTIAL ENTRIES

MOURAD E. H. ISMAIL AND FRANTIŠEK ŠTAMPACH

Abstract. We provide a complete spectral analysis of all self-adjoint operators acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ which are associated with two doubly infinite Jacobi matrices with entries given by

$$q^{-n+1}\delta_{m,n-1}+q^{-n}\delta_{m,n+1}$$

and

$$\delta_{m,n-1}+\alpha q^{-n}\delta_{m,n}+\delta_{m,n+1},$$

respectively, where $q\in(0,1)$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$. As an application, we derive orthogonality relations for the Ramanujan entire function and the third Jackson q-Bessel function.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to an investigation of spectral properties of two families of unbounded Jacobi operators acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. They are determined by two doubly infinite tridiagonal matrices whose entries are given in terms of powers of a parameter q where we always assume $0<q<1$.

First, we consider an operator $A=A(q)$ acting on the n-th vector of the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ as

$$Ae_n=q^{-n+1}e_{n-1}+q^{-n}e_{n+1},\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1}$$

The operator A endowed with the domain $\operatorname{Dom}A=\operatorname{span}\{e_n\mid n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a symmetric operator with deficiency indices $(1,1)$. Thus, there is a whole one-parameter family of self-adjoint extensions of A whose domains as well as their fundamental spectral properties will be described in details.

The recurrence relation determined by (1) has a long history. It appeared earlier in the proofs of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities and the Ramanujan continued fraction. For example, Schur used them in his analysis of the identities, see [20]. They also appeared in the generalization of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities, referred to as the m-version, given by Garrett, Ismail, and Stanton in [11]. Carlitz also used (1) to study an m-version of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities for $m\leq 0$ in [7]. For details, we refer the interested reader to [13, Sec. 13.5 and 13.6].

The semi-infinite parts of A, i.e., the semi-infinite Jacobi operators determined by (1) with indices being restricted to $\mathbb{Z}_\pm$ (non-negative/non-positive integers) have been studied before and their spectral properties are well known, see [3, 8, 21]. The results from [3, 8, 21] are not formulated as spectral properties of the corresponding Jacobi operators but equivalently as specific properties of corresponding families of orthogonal polynomials. It is worth mentioning that the spectral properties of these semi-infinite Jacobi operators were always accompanied with interesting mathematical objects: the Ramanujan continued fraction, the Ramanujan entire function and its zeros [3]; and interesting mathematical phenomena like indeterminate Hamburger moment problem with known Nevanlinna parametrization functions [8, 21].

The second part of the paper is devoted to a study of a second operator $B=B(\alpha,q)$ whose action is defined by the formula

$$Be_n=e_{n-1}+\alpha q^{-n}e_n+e_{n+1},\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{2}$$

Date: May 3, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B36, 33D90.

Key words and phrases. doubly infinite Jacobi matrix, spectral analysis, discrete Schrödinger operator, theta function, Ramanujan entire function, q-Bessel function.

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The relation (2) gives rise to a unique self-adjoint discrete Schrödinger operator with a potential depending on a coupling constant α. Again, the spectral properties of the corresponding semi-infinite Jacobi operators has been studied before. For some results within the theory of orthogonal polynomials, see [14].

Throughout this article, we shall follow the standard notation for basic hypergeometric series as in [4, 10]. For the general theory on spectral analysis of Jacobi operators, the reader is referred to [2, 6, 23].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the operator A is treated. It is shown the corresponding difference expression is in the limit circle case at $+\infty$ and domains of all self-adjoint extensions A_t, $t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, are described by respective boundary conditions. We show the continues spectrum equals essential spectrum and they coincide with $\{0\}$ for all the self-adjoint extensions. A suitable reparametrization of the parameter labeling the self-adjoint extensions allows us to obtain the discrete part of the spectrum of A_t in a full explicit form. Here the crucial role is played by Jacobian elliptic functions and elliptic integrals. Further, we derive a formula for corresponding eigenvectors in terms of basic hypergeometric series and compute their ℓ^2-norm. As an application of deduced spectral properties of A, we obtain several orthogonality relations for the Ramanujan entire function.

In Section 3, the spectral analysis of B is treated. We show the essential spectrum of B coincides with the absolutely continuous spectrum of B and equals the spectrum of the free discrete Schrödinger operator $[-2, 2]$. In addition, the discrete spectrum of B is found fully explicitly, corresponding eigenvectors are expressed in terms of basic hypergeometric series and their ℓ^2-norm is computed. Moreover, we derive a formula for an arbitrary matrix element of the spectral measure of B by finding its density in the absolutely continues part of the spectrum. At last, some consequences concerning third Jackson's q-Bessel functions are discussed.

2. Spectral analysis of operator A

2.1. **General properties of operator A.** Let us denote $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_- = -\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $P_\pm$ the orthogonal projections on $\operatorname{span}\{e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_\pm\}$. Then $P_+AP_+ = 0 \oplus A_+$ and $P_-AP_- = A_- \oplus 0$ where A_+ act on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and A_- acts on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_-)$, respectively. Clearly,

$$A = A_- \oplus 0 + 0 \oplus A_+, \tag{3}$$

since $\langle e_0, Ae_0\rangle = 0$.

Proposition 1. *Deficiency indices of A are $(1, 1)$.*

Proof. By the theorem of Masson and Repka, see [16], deficiency indices of A equals the sum of deficiency indices of A_- and A_+. Deficiency indices of A_- are $(0, 0)$ for it is a bounded operator, while deficiency indices of A_+ are known to be $(1, 1)$, see [8, 21]. □

Proposition 2. *Let A_t be a self-adjoint extension of A, then*

$$\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(A_t) = \operatorname{spec}_c(A_t) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Since the deficiency indices of A_+ are $(1, 1)$, any self-adjoint extension $(A_+)_t$ of A_+ is an operator with discrete spectrum, see, for example, [23, Lem. 2.19]. Hence the essential spectrum of the full operator $0 \oplus (A_+)_t$ (acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$) coincides with $\{0\}$, for 0 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. The remainder $A_- \oplus 0$, in the decomposition

$$A_t = A_- \oplus 0 + 0 \oplus (A_+)_t,$$

is a compact operator. Consequently, the equality $\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(A_t) = \{0\}$ follows from the Weyl theorem on the stability of the essential spectrum of a self-adjoint operator.

It is easy to check that the sequences $p = \{p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $q = \{q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, given by equalities

$$p_{2n-1} = q_{2n} = 0, \quad p_{2n} = q_{2n+1} = (-q)^n, \tag{4}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are two linearly independent solutions of the eigenvalue equation $A\psi = 0$. Clearly, neither the solution p nor q is square summable at $-\infty$. Thus, 0 can not be an eigenvalue of A_t, for any t, since the corresponding eigenvector would have to be a nontrivial linear combination of p and q. □

Remark 3. Recall the essential spectrum of a self-adjoint operator contains either eigenvalues of infinite algebraic multiplicity, or accumulation points of the spectrum. Taking into account the previous proposition and the fact that the multiplicity of an eigenvalue of a Jacobi operator can not exceed two, 0 has to be the only (finite) accumulation point of eigenvalues for any A_t.

2.2. **Solutions of the eigenvalue equation.** First, we provide two general solutions of the eigenvalue equation

$$q^{-n+1}\psi_{n-1} + q^{-n}\psi_{n+1} = x\psi_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 4. *For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$, the sequences $\psi^{\pm}$, where*

$$\psi_n^{\pm} = \psi_n^{\pm}(x) := (\pm \mathrm{i})^n q^{n/2} {}_1\phi_1\Big(0; -q; q, \mp \mathrm{i}xq^{n+1/2}\Big), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{6}$$

form a couple of linearly independent solutions of the difference equation (5).

Proof. The verification of this statement has been essentially given within the proof of Proposition 4 in [21]. Is is straightforward to check that $\psi^{\pm}$ solve the equation (5). Next, for the Wronskian

$$W(\psi^+, \psi^-) = q^{-n}\left(\psi_{n+1}^+\psi_n^- - \psi_n^+\psi_{n+1}^-\right),$$

one has $W(\psi^+, \psi^-) = 2\mathrm{i}q^{1/2} \neq 0$, as one easily deduces by taking limit $n \to \infty$ in the above formula. This implies the linear independence of ψ^+, ψ^-. □

Remark 5. Note that the solutions $\psi^{\pm}$ can be expressed in terms of a q-analogue to the exponential function. Namely,

$$\psi_n^{\pm}(x) = (\pm \mathrm{i})^n q^{n/2} \exp_{q^2}\left(\pm \frac{\mathrm{i}xq^{n+1/2}}{1-q^2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where

$$\exp_{q^2}(z) = {}_1\phi_1\Big(0; -q^{1/2}; q^{1/2}, -(1-q)z\Big),$$

see [10, Eq. (1.3.26)]. The connection between solutions of (5) and q-exponential functions has already been observed in [8] and play an important role in the study of some exceptional solutions of the corresponding indeterminate Hamburger moment problem, see [21] for details.

It is clear that both solutions $\psi^{\pm}$ are square summable at $+\infty$. However, it can be shown that this is not the case at $-\infty$. Hence, one may expect there are non-trivial coefficients $a = a(x)$ and $b = b(x)$ such that the linear combination $a\psi^+ + b\psi^- \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_-)$, at least for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, this is true for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, as it is shown in the Proposition 6 below.

Let us denote

$$\theta_q(x) := \big(x, qx^{-1}; q\big)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\binom{n}{2}}(-x)^n, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{7}$$

where the second equality is known as the Jacobi triple product identity, see [10, Eq. (II.28)]. By using (7), it is easy to verify that for $x \neq 0$,

$$(-1;q)_\infty \, {}_0\phi_1\left(-; 0; q^2, q^5x^{-2}\right) = \theta_q\big(-q^{-1}x\big) \, {}_1\phi_1\left(0; -q; q, x\right) + \theta_q\big(q^{-1}x\big) \, {}_1\phi_1\left(0; -q; q, -x\right), \tag{8}$$

a connection formula needed in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *For all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the sequence*

$$\varphi(x) := \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right)\psi^{(-)}(x) + \theta_q\left(\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right)\psi^{(+)}(x), \tag{9}$$

where θ_q is given by (7)*, is the non-trivial solution of* (5) *which belongs to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, within the space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, this solution is given uniquely up to a multiplicative constant.*

Proof. First note that functions $\theta_q(\pm x)$ have no common zeros. Consequently, $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, due the linear independence of $\psi^{(+)}(x), \psi^{(-)}(x)$.

Clearly, $\varphi(x)$ solves (5), for, by Proposition 4, it is a linear combination of solutions of (5).

Next, to show that $\varphi(x) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, it suffices to verify the square summability of $\varphi(x)$ at $-\infty$. By writing $\mathrm{i}q^{n+1/2}x$ instead of x in the connection formula (8), using the identity

$$\theta_q\left(q^k x\right) = (-1)^k x^{-k} q^{-k(k-1)/2} \theta_q(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{10}$$

together with definitions (6) and (9), one arrives at the formula

$$\varphi_n(x) = (-1;q)_\infty \, x^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \, {}_0\phi_1\left(-;0;q^2,-q^{-2n+4}x^{-2}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},\ x \neq 0. \tag{11}$$

The expression on the RHS is readily seen to be square summable for n at $-\infty$ for all $x \neq 0$.

Finally, to prove the uniqueness, take another solution χ of (5) such that χ, φ are linearly independent. The Wronskian $q^{-n}\left(\varphi_{n+1}\chi_n - \varphi_n\chi_{n+1}\right)$ is thus a nonzero constant. From the expression for the Wronskian, it is clear however, the sequence χ_n can not even be bounded as $n \to -\infty$, since otherwise the Wronskian would vanish. □

Next, we derive a formula for the ℓ^2-norm of the solution (9) for $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. First, however, we prove a lemma which we will use during the derivation. For this purpose, we define the function

$$\xi_q(z) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n/2}}{1+zq^{n-1/2}}, \tag{12}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-q^{n+1/2} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly, the function ξ_q is meromorphic with simple poles located at the points $-q^{n+1/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 7. *For all $z \notin \{-q^{n+1/2} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$, it holds*

$$\xi_q(z) = \frac{(q;q)_\infty^2}{\left(q^{1/2};q\right)_\infty^2} \frac{\theta_q(-z)}{\theta_q\left(-q^{-1/2}z\right)}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. First, we rewrite the Mittag-Leffler expansion (12) in the Laurent series form. By splitting the sum in (12) into two sums with the summation indices ranging positive and non-negative integers, respectively, and making some simple manipulations one gets

$$\xi_q(z) = \chi_q(z) + z^{-1}\chi_q\left(z^{-1}\right) \tag{14}$$

where

$$\chi_q(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n/2}}{1+zq^{n-1/2}}.$$

Further, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_q(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-z)^k q^{(2n-1)k/2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{-k/2}(-z)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{(2k+1)n/2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)/2}}{1-q^{k+1/2}}(-z)^k,\end{aligned}$$

for $|z| < q^{-1/2}$. By plugging the last formula into (14), one obtains the Laurent series expansion

$$\xi_q(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)/2}}{1-q^{k+1/2}}(-z)^k \tag{15}$$

which converges if $q^{1/2} < |z| < q^{-1/2}$.

The formula (15) admits to express ξ_q as the bilateral basic hypergeometric series ${}_1\psi_1$, see [10, Chp. 5], which can be, in turn, sum up by the Ramanujan bilateral summation formula [10, Eq. (5.2.1)]. Namely, one has

$$\xi_q(z) = \frac{q^{1/2}}{1-q^{1/2}} {}_1\psi_1\left(q^{1/2};q^{3/2};q,-q^{1/2}z\right) = q^{1/2}\frac{\left(q,q,-qz,-z^{-1};q\right)_\infty}{\left(q^{1/2},q^{1/2},-q^{1/2}z,-q^{1/2}z^{-1};q\right)_\infty}.$$

The last formula written in terms of theta functions reads

$$\xi_q(z) = q^{1/2}\frac{(q;q)_\infty^2}{\left(q^{1/2};q\right)_\infty^2}\frac{\theta_q\left(-z^{-1}\right)}{\theta_q\left(-q^{1/2}z\right)} = \frac{(q;q)_\infty^2}{\left(q^{1/2};q\right)_\infty^2}\frac{\theta_q\left(-z\right)}{\theta_q\left(-q^{-1/2}z\right)}$$

where we used (10) and the identity

$$\theta_q\left(z\right) = -z\theta_q\left(z^{-1}\right) \tag{16}$$

which holds for all $z \neq 0$. Consequently, (13) is established for $q^{1/2} < |z| < q^{-1/2}$, however, by applying the Identity Principle for analytic functions, the validity of (13) extends to all $z \notin \{-q^{n+1/2} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$. □

Proposition 8. *For all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, one has*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n^2(z) = 4\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{\left(q;q^2\right)_\infty^2}\theta_{q^2}\left(-z^2\right). \tag{17}$$

Proof. We start with the Green's formula:

$$(x-y)\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \varphi_k(x)\varphi_k(y) = W_n\left(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\right) - W_m\left(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\right) \tag{18}$$

holding for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq n$, where

$$W_n\left(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\right) = q^{-n}\left(\varphi_{n+1}(x)\varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(x)\varphi_{n+1}(y)\right)$$

and φ is given by (9). Clearly, $W_m\left(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\right) \to 0$, for $m \to -\infty$, since $\varphi_n(x) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, for all $x \neq 0$, by Proposition 6. Thus, by sending $m \to -\infty$ in (18), one finds

$$(x-y)\sum_{k=-\infty}^{n} \varphi_k(x)\varphi_k(y) = W_n\left(\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\right)$$

Further, sending $y \to x$ in the last identity, one arrives at the formula

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k^2(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} W_n\left(\varphi'(x),\varphi(x)\right), \quad x \neq 0. \tag{19}$$

Thus, the evaluation of the sum of squares of $\varphi_n(x)$ is a matter of computation of the limit on the RHS of (19). By using formulas (9), (6) and (11), it is not hard to see the convergence of the series on the LHS of (19) is local uniform in $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, the LHS of (19) is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Since the function on the RHS of (17) is analytic in x on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ as well, we may restrict ourself with real x and verify (17) for $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ only.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed. We temporarily denote $a := \theta_q(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x)$ and $\psi := \psi^{(-)}$ Then, by (9), $\varphi = a\psi + \bar{a}\bar{\psi}$, where the bar denotes the complex conjugation. One has

$$W_n\left(\varphi',\varphi\right) = a'aW_n\left(\psi,\psi\right) + a'\bar{a}W_n\left(\psi,\bar{\psi}\right) + a^2W_n\left(\psi',\psi\right) + a\bar{a}W_n\left(\psi',\bar{\psi}\right) + \text{c.c.}$$

where the abbreviation c.c. stands for the term which equals the complex conjugation to the previous four terms. Clearly, $W_n\left(\psi,\psi\right) = 0$. Next, a straightforward computation shows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} W_n\left(\psi',\psi\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} W_n\left(\psi',\bar{\psi}\right) = 0.$$

Recall at last that $W_n\left(\psi,\bar{\psi}\right) = -2\mathrm{i}q^{1/2}$ as it is shown in the proof of Proposition 4. Hence, according to (19), one gets

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k^2(x) = 2\mathrm{i}q^{1/2}\left(a(x)\bar{a}'(x) - a'(x)\bar{a}(x)\right) = -2\left(\theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right)\theta_q'\left(\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) + \text{c.c.}\right).$$

Finally, it suffices to use the identity

$$\theta_q'(z)\theta_q(-z) + \theta_q'(-z)\theta_q(z) = -2\theta_q(z)\theta_q(-z)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{q^k}{1-z^2q^{2k}},$$

which holds true for all $z \neq 0$, to arrive at the identity

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k^2(x) = 4\theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right)\theta_q\left(\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{q^k}{1+x^2q^{2k-1}} = 4\theta_{q^2}\left(-q^{-1}x^2\right)\xi_{q^2}\left(x^2\right)$$

where ξ_q is defined in (12). Now, it suffices to apply (13) to conclude the proof. □

2.3. **Self-adjoint extensions.** Recall that the symmetric operator A decomposes as in (3) and deficiency indices of A_+ are $(1,1)$, while deficiency indices of A_- are $(0,0)$. In another terminology, the difference expression associated with the operator A is in the limit circle case at $+\infty$ and in the limit point case at $-\infty$. Consequently, whether a sequence $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ belongs to the domain of a self-adjoint extension of A, depends on the asymptotic behavior of ψ at $+\infty$. Below, we provide the description of all self-adjoint extensions of A in terms of boundary condition imposed on the respective sequences at $+\infty$. We use the advantage that, in the case of A, we can restrict ourself to A_+ since, clearly, the set of all self-adjoint extensions of A is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all self-adjoint extensions of A_+.

Denote by $(A_+)_{\max}$ the maximal domain Jacobi operator associated with A_+, hence

$$D_+ := \mathrm{Dom}(A_+)_{\max} = \{\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \mid A_+\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)\},$$

and similarly $A_{\max}$ and D in the case of A. It is known that all the self-adjoint extensions of A_+ can be parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$ and their domains can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{Dom}(A_+)_t = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}(A_+)_{\max} \mid \lim_{n\to\infty}(W_n(p,\psi) - tW_n(q,\psi)) = 0\},$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\mathrm{Dom}(A_+)_\infty = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Dom}(A_+)_{\max} \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} W_n(q,\psi) = 0\},$$

where $p, q \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ can be chosen as the solutions of the equation

$$q^{-n+1}\psi_{n-1} + q^{-n}\psi_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

determined by the initial conditions $p_0 = 1$, $p_1 = 0$ and $q_0 = 0$, $q_1 = 1$, see, for example, [23, Sec. 2.6]. In our case, the entries of p and q are as in (4).

Proposition 9. *For $t \in \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$, operators $A_t \subset A_{\max}$ with domains*

$$\mathrm{Dom}\, A_t = \Big\{\psi \in D \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n}\left(\psi_{2n+1} + t\psi_{2n}\right) = 0 \ \wedge \ \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n}\left(q\psi_{2n-1} - t\psi_{2n}\right) = 0\Big\},$$

if $t \in \mathbb{R}$, or

$$\mathrm{Dom}\, A_\infty = \Big\{\psi \in D \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n}\psi_{2n} = 0\Big\},$$

are all self-adjoint extensions of A.

Proof. According to the above introduction, it suffices to evaluate the Wronskians $W_n(p,\psi)$ and $W_n(q,\psi)$. By using (4), one readily verifies that

$$W_{2n}(p,\psi) - tW_{2n}(q,\psi) = (-1)^{n+1}q^{-n}\left(\psi_{2n+1} + t\psi_{2n}\right)$$

and

$$W_{2n-1}(p,\psi) - tW_{2n-1}(q,\psi) = (-1)^n q^{-n}\left(q\psi_{2n-1} - t\psi_{2n}\right).$$

Clearly, concerning the limit of the above expressions for $n \to \infty$, the alternating factors are superfluous and the statement follows. □

2.4. **The point spectrum of A_t.** We derive a secular equation whose zeros determine the point spectrum of a particular self-adjoint extension of A.

Theorem 10. *For $t \in \mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$, $\mathrm{spec}_p(A_t)$ coincides with the set of roots of the secular equation:*

$$x\theta_{q^4}\left(q^2x^2\right) + t\theta_{q^4}\left(x^2\right) = 0, \ \textit{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \theta_{q^4}\left(x^2\right) = 0, \ \textit{for } t = \infty.$$

In addition, all eigenvalues of A_t are simple.

Proof. First, note that $\operatorname{spec}_p(A_t) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ as it follows from the self-adjointness of A_t and Proposition 2. Next, according to Proposition 6, $0 \neq x \in \operatorname{spec}_p(A_t)$ if and only if the vector $\varphi(x)$, defined by (9), belongs to the domain of A_t. Taking into account Proposition 9, we find that $x \in \operatorname{spec}_p(A_t)$ iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n}\left(\varphi_{2n+1}(x) + t\varphi_{2n}(x)\right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{-n}\left(q\varphi_{2n-1}(x) - t\varphi_{2n}(x)\right) = 0,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and similarly for $t = \infty$. By substituting for $\varphi(x)$ by (9) and (6), the first boundary condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} \eta_{2n+1}(x) + t \operatorname{Re} \eta_{2n}(x) = 0$$

where

$$\eta_n(x) := \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) {}_1\phi_1\left(0; -q; q, \mathrm{i}xq^{n+1/2}\right).$$

Since ${}_1\phi_1\left(0; -q; q, \mathrm{i}xq^{n+1/2}\right) = 1 + o(1)$, as $n \to \infty$, we end up with the secular equation of the form

$$q^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) + t \operatorname{Re} \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

The second boundary condition turns out to be equivalent to the same equation.

By using the Jacobi triple product identity (7), one deduces

$$\operatorname{Re} \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{2n(n-1)}(-1)^n x^{2n} = \frac{(q^4;q^4)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \theta_{q^4}\left(x^2\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Im} \theta_q\left(-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}x\right) = \frac{xq^{-1/2}}{(q;q)_\infty} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{2n^2}(-1)^n x^{2n} = xq^{-1/2} \frac{(q^4;q^4)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \theta_{q^4}\left(q^2x^2\right).$$

By plugging the last formulas into (20), one arrives at the secular equation from the statement for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The secular equation for $t = \infty$ is to be derived in a completely analogous way.

Finally, the simplicity of eigenvalues is a consequence of the part of Proposition 6 concerning uniqueness. □

Note that in two particular cases, namely when $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$, roots of the secular equation can be found fully explicitly. Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 11. *It holds*

$$\operatorname{spec}_p(A_0) = \left\{\pm q^{2n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{spec}_p(A_\infty) = \left\{\pm q^{2n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

2.5. **Reparametrization and the spectrum fully explicitly.** It turns out that if a suitable reparametrization $t = \Phi(s)$ is applied, where t comes from the parametrization used for the self-adjoint extensions A_t, the spectrum of $A_{\Phi(s)}$ can be expressed in a full explicit form in terms of the new parameter s. The crucial role here is played by the four theta functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_1(z \mid q) &= \mathrm{i}q^{1/4}e^{-\mathrm{i}z}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \theta_{q^2}\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}z}\right),\\
\vartheta_2(z \mid q) &= q^{1/4}e^{-\mathrm{i}z}\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \theta_{q^2}\left(-e^{2\mathrm{i}z}\right),\\
\vartheta_3(z \mid q) &= \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \theta_{q^2}\left(-qe^{2\mathrm{i}z}\right),\\
\vartheta_4(z \mid q) &= \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty \theta_{q^2}\left(qe^{2\mathrm{i}z}\right);
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

the closely related Jacobian elliptic functions and elliptic integrals. As a reference concerning these special functions, we primarily use the monograph [17].

Lemma 12. *The function*

$$\Phi(s) := \mathrm{i}q^{1/2} \frac{\vartheta_4\left(\mathrm{i}s \mid q^2\right)}{\vartheta_1\left(\mathrm{i}s \mid q^2\right)} \tag{22}$$

is real-valued, strictly decreasing on $(0, -2\ln q)$*, and maps* $[0, -2\ln q)$ *onto* $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$*, with convention* $\Phi(0) = \infty$*. For the inverse function, it holds*

$$\Phi^{-1}(t) = \frac{q^{1/2}}{\vartheta_2\left(0 \mid q^2\right)\vartheta_3\left(0 \mid q^2\right)} \int_t^\infty \left[\left(\frac{q\vartheta_3^2\left(0 \mid q^2\right)}{\vartheta_2^2\left(0 \mid q^2\right)} + x^2\right)\left(q + x^2\right)\right]^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}x \tag{23}$$

where, for $t=\infty$, the integral has to be understood as 0.

Remark 13. The formula (23) can be also written in the form without theta functions:

$$\Phi^{-1}(t)=\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{2\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^4}\int_t^\infty\left[\left(\frac{\left(-q^2;q^4\right)_\infty^4}{4\left(-q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^4}+x^2\right)\left(q+x^2\right)\right]^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}x.$$

Proof. It turns out that the function Φ can be expressed as a Jacobian elliptic function. Indeed, using definitions [17, Eqs. 2.1.1, 2.1.21] and the Jacobi's imaginary transformation [17, Eq. 2.6.12], we arrive at the formula

$$\Phi(s)=q^{1/2}\frac{\vartheta_3\left(0\mid q^2\right)}{\vartheta_2\left(0\mid q^2\right)}\operatorname{cs}\left(s\vartheta_3^2\left(0\mid q^2\right),k'(q^2)\right)$$

where

$$k'(q^2)=\frac{\vartheta_4^2\left(0\mid q^2\right)}{\vartheta_3^2\left(0\mid q^2\right)},$$

see [17, Eq. 2.1.13]. Recall that $\operatorname{cs}(u\mid k')=\operatorname{cn}(u\mid k')/\operatorname{sn}(u\mid k')$ and $\operatorname{cs}(\cdot\mid k')$ is decreasing function on $(0,2K(k'))$ which maps the interval $(0,2K(k'))$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, where [17, Eq. 2.2.3]

$$K\left(k'(q^2)\right)=-\ln(q)\,\vartheta_3^2\left(0\mid q^2\right),$$

see [17, Chp. 2] or [1, Chp. 16]. If, in addition, we set $\operatorname{cs}(0\mid k'):=\infty$, we verify the first part of the statement.

The inverse to $\operatorname{cs}(\cdot\mid k')$ has the form of an elliptic integral, namely

$$\operatorname{cs}^{-1}(v\mid k')=\int_v^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{(1+t^2)(k^2+t^2)}},\quad v\in\mathbb{R},$$

where

$$k(q)=\sqrt{1-(k'(q))^2}=\frac{\vartheta_2^2\left(0\mid q\right)}{\vartheta_3^2\left(0\mid q\right)},$$

as one obtains by putting $a=1$ and $b=k$ in [17, Eq. 3.2.15]. With the aid of this integral formula, one arrives at (23) in a routine way. □

Theorem 14. *Let $t\in\mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$, then*

$$\operatorname{spec}_p(A_t)=\left\{-e^{-s}q^{2m}\mid m\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}\cup\left\{e^sq^{2n}\mid n\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}$$

where $s=\Phi^{-1}(t)$ is determined by (23).

Proof. By Theorem 10, the set $\operatorname{spec}_p(A_t)$, for $t\in\mathbb{R}$, coincides with nonzero solutions of the equation

$$x\theta_{q^4}\left(q^2x^2\right)+t\theta_{q^4}\left(x^2\right)=0. \tag{24}$$

First, note that $x\neq0$ is a solution of (24) if and only if $-1/x$ is a solution of (24), as one easily verifies with the aid of (10) and (16).

Taking into account the symmetry $x\leftrightarrow-1/x$ of $\operatorname{spec}_p(A_t)$, we may restrict ourself to $x>0$ only. Then, by putting $x=e^{-y}$, with $y\in\mathbb{R}$, and applying (21) we may rewrite (24) in the form

$$\vartheta_4(\mathrm{i}y\mid q^2)-\mathrm{i}q^{-1/2}t\vartheta_1(\mathrm{i}y\mid q^2)=0.$$

Further, substituting for $t=\Phi(s)$ by (22) and making use of the identity [17, Eq. 1.4.8]

$$\vartheta_1(u\mid q^2)\vartheta_4(v\mid q^2)+\vartheta_1(v\mid q^2)\vartheta_4(u\mid q^2)=\vartheta_1\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\,\middle|\,q\right)\vartheta_2\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\,\middle|\,q\right),$$

we obtain the secular equation in the form

$$\vartheta_1\left(\mathrm{i}\,\frac{s+y}{2}\,\middle|\,q\right)\vartheta_2\left(\mathrm{i}\,\frac{s-y}{2}\,\middle|\,q\right)=0.$$

Finally, with aid of (21), one concludes that all the positive solutions of the secular equation have to satisfy the equation

$$\theta_{q^2}\left(e^{-y-s}\right)=0,$$

which are the numbers $x=e^sq^{2n}$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

The case $t=\infty$ has been treated in Corollary 11. □

Remark 15. Note that if t ranges $(-\infty, \infty]$ then e^s ranges the interval $[1, q^{-2})$. Thus, to every $\omega \neq 0$, there exists a unique self-adjoint extension A_t of A with

$$\mathrm{spec}_p(A_t) = -\omega^{-1} q^{2\mathbb{Z}} \cup \omega q^{2\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Indeed, the parameter t is related to ω by the relation

$$\exp \Phi^{-1}(t) = |\omega| q^{-2\lfloor \log_{q^2} |\omega| \rfloor}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.6. **Consequences for the Ramanujan entire function.** Recall the Ramanujan entire function is defined as

$$A_q(z) := {}_0\phi_1\left(-; 0; q, -qz\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This function appeared repeatedly in the Ramanujan's "Lost notebook" [19] and represents one of possible q-analogues to the Airy function, see [12]. By using the expression (11), the entries of $\varphi(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the Ramanujan entire function as follows:

$$\varphi_n(x) = (-1; q)_\infty x^n q^{n(n-1)/2} A_{q^2}\left(q^{-2n+2} x^{-2}\right) \tag{25}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \neq 0$.

Let us also remark that

$$\|\varphi\left(x^{-1}\right)\|^2 = x^{-2} \|\varphi(x)\|^2, \tag{26}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, as one readily verifies by using (17) together with (16).

Proposition 16. *For any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(\omega q^{2m}\right) \varphi_k\left(\omega q^{2n}\right) = \omega^{-4n} q^{-2n(2n-1)} \|\varphi\left(\omega\right)\|^2 \delta_{m,n} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(\omega q^{2m}\right) \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{2n}\right) = 0 \tag{28}$$

where the ℓ^2-norm on the RHS of (27) *is given in Proposition 8.*

Proof. For any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\{\varphi(\omega q^{2m}) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\varphi(-\omega^{-1} q^{2n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of eigenvectors of a self-adjoint operator A_t for some t, see Remark 15. The statement is nothing but the orthogonality of eigenvectors of a self-adjoint operator. Indeed, the second relation (28) is immediate. The first relation (27) is a consequence of the orthogonality relation

$$\left\langle \varphi(\omega q^{2m}), \varphi(\omega q^{2n}) \right\rangle = \|\varphi\left(\omega q^{2n}\right)\|^2 \delta_{m,n}$$

and the identity

$$\|\varphi\left(\omega q^{2n}\right)\|^2 = \omega^{-4n} q^{-2n(2n-1)} \|\varphi\left(\omega\right)\|^2 \tag{29}$$

which one deduces straightforwardly by using (17) and (10).

□

Corollary 17. *For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Ramanujan entire function satisfies the following orthogonality relations:*

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} z^k q^{k(k-1)/2} A_q\left(z q^{k+\ell}\right) A_q\left(z q^{k-\ell}\right) = (q; q)_\infty^2 \, \theta_q\left(-z\right) \delta_{0,\ell} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2} A_q\left(z q^{k+\ell}\right) A_q\left(z^{-1} q^{k-\ell}\right) = 0. \tag{31}$$

Proof. The first orthogonality relation (30) follows from (27). Indeed, by substituting in (27) by (25), shifting the summation index by introducing $j = -k + 1 + m + n$, writing $-m$ instead of m, $-n$ instead of n, $q^{1/2}$ instead of q, and finally putting $z = \omega^{-2}$, one arrives at the formula

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} z^j q^{j(j-1)/2} A_q\left(z q^{j+(m-n)}\right) A_q\left(z q^{j-(m-n)}\right) = \frac{4\left(q; q\right)_\infty^2}{\left(-1; q^{1/2}\right)_\infty^2 \left(q^{1/2}; q\right)_\infty^2} \theta_q\left(-z\right) \delta_{m,n}$$

where we used (17) and (26). This yields (30) for $z > 0$, since $(-q;q)_\infty (q;q^2)_\infty = 1$. Similarly, one obtains the second relation (31) starting from (28), for $z > 0$.

It is very easy to verify the series on the LHSs of (30) and (31) both locally converge in z on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and hence they are analytic functions in z on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In addition, the RHSs of (30) and (31) are functions analytic in z on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, too. Since (30) and (31) holds for all $z > 0$, as we have already proved, their validity extends to all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by the Identity Principle for analytic functions. □

At this point, we also mention the dual orthogonality relation and its consequences for the Ramanujan entire function.

Proposition 18. *For any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{2n} q^{n(n-1)} \left[\varphi_k\left(\omega q^n\right) \varphi_\ell\left(\omega q^n\right) + \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-n+1}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-n+1}\right) \right] = 2 \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell} \tag{32}$$

where the ℓ^2-norm on the RHS is given in Proposition 8.

Proof. For any $t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, we know the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator A_t consists of isolated simple eigenvalues and zero which, in turn, is never an eigenvalue. Hence, with the aid of the Spectral Theorem, one infers that A_t is an operator with pure point spectrum, i.e., its normalized eigenvectors form an orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Recall that the set of eigenvectors of A_t is of the form $\{\varphi(\omega q^{2m}) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\varphi(-\omega^{-1} q^{2n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, see Remark 15.

Thus, Parseval's equality yields

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \omega q^{2\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{\varphi_k(\lambda) \varphi_\ell(\lambda)}{\|\varphi(\lambda)\|^2} + \frac{\varphi_k\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right)}{\|\varphi\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right)\|^2} = \delta_{k,\ell},$$

for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking into account (26) and (17), the last relation can be written as

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \omega q^{2\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{\|\varphi(\lambda)\|^2} \left(\varphi_k(\lambda) \varphi_\ell(\lambda) + \lambda^2 \varphi_k\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\lambda^{-1}\right) \right) = \delta_{k,\ell}.$$

Further, by using (29), one gets

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{4n} q^{2n(2n-1)} \left[\varphi_k\left(\omega q^{2n}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(\omega q^{2n}\right) + \omega^2 q^{4n} \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-2n}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-2n}\right) \right] = \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell}$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{N \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \omega^{2N} q^{N(N-1)} \left[\varphi_k\left(\omega q^{N}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(\omega q^{N}\right) + \omega^2 q^{2N} \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-N}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-N}\right) \right] = \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell}. \tag{33}$$

By writing $q\omega$ instead of ω in (33) one also obtains the same relation with odd summation indices, i.e.,

$$\sum_{N \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \omega^{2N} q^{N(N-1)} \left[\varphi_k\left(\omega q^{N}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(\omega q^{N}\right) + \omega^2 q^{2N} \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-N}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-N}\right) \right] = \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell} \tag{34}$$

where we used that $\|\varphi(q\omega)\|^2 = \omega^{-2} \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2$ which follows from (17) and (10).

By summing up (33) and (34), one finds

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{2n} q^{n(n-1)} \left[\varphi_k\left(\omega q^n\right) \varphi_\ell\left(\omega q^n\right) + \omega^2 q^{2n} \varphi_k\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-n}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-\omega^{-1} q^{-n}\right) \right] = 2 \|\varphi(\omega)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell},$$

for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, to end with the relation (32), it suffices to split the sum in the last expression into two sums and shift the index by 1 in the second sum. □

Corollary 19. *For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Ramanujan entire function satisfies the following orthogonality relation:*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+k+\ell)} \left(B_{n+k}(z) B_{n+\ell}(z) + B_{n+k}\left(-z^{-1}\right) B_{n+\ell}\left(-z^{-1}\right) \right) = 2q^{-k^2} \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2 \theta_{q^2}\left(-qz^2\right) \delta_{k,\ell} \quad (35)$$

where

$$B_j(z) = z^j A_{q^2}\left(z^2 q^{2j+1}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Again, we verify (35) only for $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the validity of (35) is to be extended to all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ by the analyticity argument.

Introducing $z := q^{1/2}\omega^{-1}$, one can rewrite (32) as

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \left[z^{-2n} \varphi_k\left(z^{-1}q^{n+1/2}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(z^{-1}q^{n+1/2}\right) + z^{2n} \varphi_k\left(-zq^{n+1/2}\right) \varphi_\ell\left(-zq^{n+1/2}\right) \right] = 2\|\varphi\left(q^{1/2}z^{-1}\right)\|^2 \delta_{k,\ell}.$$

Then, substituting by (25) into the last equation, using (17) and making some simple manipulations, one readily arrives at (35). □

Remark 20. Assume $k + \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, then, by writing $n - (k+\ell)/2$ instead of n, z instead of z^2 and q instead of q^2 in (35), one gets the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2/2} \left[z^n A_q\left(zq^{n+m+1/2}\right) A_q\left(zq^{n-m+1/2}\right) + z^{-n} A_q\left(z^{-1}q^{n+m+1/2}\right) A_q\left(z^{-1}q^{n-m+1/2}\right) \right] = 2\left(q;q\right)_\infty^2 \theta_q\left(-q^{1/2}z\right) \delta_{0,m},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, this formula readily follows already from (30).

If $k + \ell \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, then, by writing $n - (k+\ell+1)/2$ instead of n, z instead of z^2 and q instead of q^2 in (35), one arrives at the relation

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n-1)/2} \left[z^n A_q\left(zq^{n+m}\right) A_q\left(zq^{n-m-1}\right) - z^{1-n} A_q\left(z^{-1}q^{n+m}\right) A_q\left(z^{-1}q^{n-m-1}\right) \right] = 0,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. Spectral analysis of operator B

The linear operator $B = B(\alpha, q)$ determined by (2) is assumed to coincide with the discrete Schrödinger operator of the form

$$B = U + U^* + \alpha V$$

where U is the forward shift operator acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e., $Ue_n = e_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, U^* its adjoint, and V is the self-adjoint operator determined by equalities $Ve_n = q^{-n}e_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $U + U^*$ is bounded, the domain of B coincides with the natural domain of V, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{Dom} B = \operatorname{Dom} V = \{\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid V\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Note that B is a sum of hermitian and self-adjoint operator, hence B is self-adjoint.

If $\alpha = 0$, then $B = U + U^*$ is an operator sometimes called discrete Laplacian on $\mathbb{Z}$ or free discrete Schrödinger operator. Its spectral properties are well-known. Recall at least that

$$\operatorname{spec}(U + U^*) = \operatorname{spec}_{ac}(U + U^*) = [-2, 2].$$

The coupling parameter α is assumed to be real, however, it can be restricted even more. First, note that we may consider $\alpha > 0$ since $B(\alpha, q)$ and $-B(-\alpha, q)$ are unitarily equivalent. In addition, from (2), it is clear that we may even assume, for example, $\alpha \in (q, 1]$, otherwise it suffices to shift the index.

3.1. **The essential spectrum of B.** First, let us take a look at the essential part of the spectrum of B. In the following proof, for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ the orthogonal projection on the space spanned by $\{e_n \mid n \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Recall also $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_- = -\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proposition 21. *It holds*

$$\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(B) = [-2, 2].$$

Proof. First, decompose B as $B = D + R + X$ where $D = P_{\mathbb{Z}_-}(U + U^*)P_{\mathbb{Z}_-} + P_{\mathbb{N}}\,\alpha V P_{\mathbb{N}}$, $R = P_{\mathbb{N}}(U + U^*)P_{\mathbb{N}}$, and $X = B - D - R$. Clearly, X is a compact operator, thus

$$\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(B) = \operatorname{spec}_{ess}(D + R),$$

by the Weyl theorem. Further, since

$$R(D + \mathrm{i})^{-1} = P_{\mathbb{N}}(U + U^*)(\alpha V + \mathrm{i})^{-1} P_{\mathbb{N}}$$

is a compact operator, R is D-compact, and, by the Weyl theorem for relatively compact symmetric perturbations, see, for example [24, Thm. 9.9], we have

$$\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(D + R) = \operatorname{spec}_{ess}(D).$$

Finally, it suffices to note that

$$\operatorname{spec}_{ess}(D) = \operatorname{spec}_{ess}(P_{\mathbb{Z}_-}(U + U^*)P_{\mathbb{Z}_-}) = [-2, 2].$$

The last equality is a well-known fact. □

3.2. **Solutions of the eigenvalue equation and their asymptotics.** The main aim of this subsection is to provide formulas for solutions of the eigenvalue equation for operator B in terms of basic hypergeometric series and analyze their asymptotic behavior as the index tends to $\pm\infty$. This will be essential for the later detailed spectral analysis of B.

We distinguish two regions for the spectral variable. First, the case when the spectral variable ranges $\mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2]$, i.e., is outside the essential spectrum of B, and when is within the essential spectrum of B. For this purpose, it is convenient to consider the eigenvalue equation for operator B in the following form:

$$v_{n-1} + \big(\alpha q^{-n} - \mu(z)\big)\, v_n + v_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{36}$$

where $\mu(z) = z + z^{-1}$ is the Joukowski conformal map. Note that μ maps $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$ bijectively onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2]$ and $\{e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \mid \theta \in [0, \pi]\}$ bijectively onto $[-2, 2]$.

Let us denote the regularized confluent basic hypergeometric ${}_1\phi_1$ series by

$${}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(a; b; q, z) := (b; q)_\infty\, {}_1\phi_1(a; b; q, z). \tag{37}$$

This function is well defined and analytic in all variables $a, b, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Two solutions of the equation (36) are $\{f_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ where

$$f_n = f_n(z) := (-1)^n \alpha^{-n} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}\, {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; z^{-1}\alpha^{-1}q^{n+1}; q, z\alpha^{-1}q^{n+1}) \tag{38}$$

and

$$g_n = g_n(z) := z^{-n}\, {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; z\alpha q^{1-n}; q, qz^2). \tag{39}$$

This statement can be verified by somewhat lengthy but straightforward computation. Functions (38) and (39) are well defined for all $z, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 22. Due to the symmetry relation

$${}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; a; q, z) = {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; z; q, a),$$

see [15, Prop. 2.1], the function $f_n = f_n(z)$ is invariant under the exchange $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$. On the other hand, the second solution $g_n = g_n(z)$ does not possess such a symmetry. Since the equation (36) is also invariant under $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$, the sequence $\{\tilde{g}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where $\tilde{g}_n = \tilde{g}_n(z) := g_n(z^{-1})$, is another solution of the equation (36).

Proposition 23. *For the Wronskian $W(f,g) = f_{n+1}g_n - f_n g_{n+1}$, where f and g are defined in* (38) *and* (39)*, one has*

$$W(f,g) = -z^{-1}\theta_q(\alpha z). \tag{40}$$

Consequently, functions f and g are two linearly independent solutions of (36) *if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $z \notin \alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{Z}} \cup \{0\}$. Moreover, asymptotic relations for f_n and g_n are as follows:*

$$f_n = (-1)^n \alpha^{-n} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} [1 + o(1)], \tag{41}$$

$$g_n = (-1)^n \alpha^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \left[\theta_q\left(z^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\right) + o(1)\right], \tag{42}$$

as $n \to \infty$, and

$$f_n = z^n \left[\frac{\theta_q(\alpha z)}{(z^2;q)_\infty} + o(1)\right], \tag{43}$$

$$g_n = z^{-n} \left[(qz^2;q)_\infty + o(1)\right], \tag{44}$$

as $n \to -\infty$, however, the formula (43) *is true under the additional assumption $0 < |z| < 1$.*

Proof. Derivation of the asymptotic formula (41) is a matter of straightforward computation using the very definitions (38) and (37). To verify (42), it suffices to write g_n in the form

$$g_n = (-1)^n \alpha^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-k}z^{-1}\alpha^{-1};q\right)_n \left(q^{k+1}z\alpha;q\right)_\infty \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+kn}}{(q;q)_k}(-1)^k z^{2k}$$

where we used that

$$\left(z\alpha q^{1-n+k};q\right)_\infty = (-1)^n \alpha^n z^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)+kn} \left(q^{-k}z^{-1}\alpha^{-1};q\right)_n \left(q^{k+1}z\alpha;q\right)_\infty .$$

Expansion (42) now immediately follows.

Having the expansions (41) and (42), the formula for the Wronskian (40) follows from the independence of $W(f,g)$ on n using

$$W(f,g) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (f_{n+1}g_n - f_n g_{n+1})$$

together with the identity (16).

The asymptotic formula (44) readily follows from definitions (39) and (37) with the aid of the identity [10, Eq. (1.3.16)]

$${}_0\phi_0(-;-;q,z) = (z;q)_\infty$$

which holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Finally, assuming $n < 0$ and using that

$$\left(z^{-1}\alpha^{-1}q^{1+n+k};q\right)_\infty = (-1)^n \alpha^n z^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)-kn} \left(q^{-k}z\alpha;q\right)_{-n} \left(q^{k+1}z^{-1}\alpha^{-1};q\right)_\infty ,$$

we can express f_n in the form

$$f_n = z^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-k}z\alpha;q\right)_{-n} \left(q^{k+1}z^{-1}\alpha^{-1};q\right)_\infty \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}}{(q;q)_k}(-1)^k \alpha^{-k} z^k.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} z^{-n} f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_q\left(q^{-k}z\alpha\right) \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}}{(q;q)_k}(-1)^k \alpha^{-k} z^k.$$

To evaluate the sum on the RHS in the last equality and arrive at (43), one has to use (10) first and then apply the identity [10, Eq. (1.3.15)]

$${}_1\phi_0(0;-;q,z) = \frac{1}{(z;q)_\infty} \tag{45}$$

which holds true for $|z| < 1$ only. This is the reason for additional assumption concerning the validity of (43). □

To complete the picture of the asymptotic behavior of the solutions (38) and (39), we need to investigate the asymptotic behavior of $f_n(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$, as $n \to -\infty$, for $\phi \in [0,\pi]$. This is, however, a bit more delicate task. Recall that, for the upcoming spectral analysis of B, it is sufficient to restrict the range of parameter α to $(q,1]$. This is already considered in the following lemma.

Lemma 24. *The following asymptotic formulas hold true for $n \to \infty$.*

i) *If $|z| = 1$, $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, and $\xi \in [q, 1)$, then*

$$ {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1\left(0; z^{-1}\xi q^{-n}; q, z\xi q^{-n}\right) = (-z\xi)^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \left[A + z^{-2n}B + o(1)\right] $$

where

$$ A = \frac{\left(z^{-1}\xi; q\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \left(\frac{z^2}{z^2-1} {}_1\phi_1\left(q; qz^{-2}; q, qz^{-1}\xi^{-1}\right) + {}_1\phi_1\left(q; z^{-1}\xi; q, z\xi\right) - 1 \right) $$

and

$$ B = \frac{\theta_q\left(z^{-1}\xi\right)}{\left(z^2;q\right)_\infty}. $$

ii)

$$ {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; \xi q^{-n}; q, \xi q^{-n}) = \begin{cases} (-\xi)^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \left[\frac{\theta_q(\xi)}{(q;q)_\infty} n + O(1) \right], & \xi \in (q,1), \\ (-1)^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \left[(q;q)_\infty + o(1) \right], & \xi = q. \end{cases} $$

iii) *If $\xi \in [q, 1)$, then*

$$ {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1(0; -\xi q^{-n}; q, -\xi q^{-n}) = \xi^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \left[\frac{\theta_q\left(-\xi\right)}{(q;q)_\infty} n + O(1) \right]. $$

Proof. By the definition (37), one has

$$ {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1\left(0; z^{-1}\xi q^{-n}; q, z\xi q^{-n}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(z^{-1}\xi q^{-n+k}; q\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_k} q^{\binom{k}{2} - nk} (-z\xi)^k. $$

Next, we split the sum into two sums where the summation index of the first sum ranges from 0 to $n-1$, while the index of the second one goes from n to ∞. Further, we make a substitution in the first sum by introducing new summation index $j := n - k$ and we shift the summation index of the second sum by n. Finally, by making some obvious algebraic manipulations, one arrives at the expression

$$ (-z\xi)^n q^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} \left(z^{-1}\xi; q\right)_\infty \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(qz\xi^{-1}; q)_j}{(q;q)_{n-j}} z^{-2j} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}}{\left(z^{-1}\xi; q\right)_k (q;q)_{k+n}} (-z\xi)^k \right]. \quad (46) $$

Note the second sum in the square brackets in (46) has a finite limit when $n \to \infty$ equal to

$$ \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} {}_1\phi_1\left(q; z^{-1}\xi; q, z\xi\right). \quad (47) $$

Thus, in the following part, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the first sum. Let us denote

$$ P_n = P_n(z, \xi; q) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(qz\xi^{-1}; q)_j}{(q;q)_{n-j}} z^{-2j}. \quad (48) $$

Note the summation index ranges $0 \le j \le n$, while the index of the first sum in (46) ranges $1 \le j \le n$.

Next, we derive the generating function formula for P_n given by (48). For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $|t| < 1$, one has

$$ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n t^n = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^l}{(q;q)_l} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(qz\xi^{-1}; q\right)_k z^{-2k} t^k = \frac{1}{(t;q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1\left(qz\xi^{-1}, q; 0; q, z^{-2}t\right) $$

where the identity (45) was used. A limit case of Heine's transformation formulas for ${}_2\phi_1$, see [10, Sec. 1.4], yields

$$ {}_2\phi_1\left(a, b; 0; q, z\right) = \frac{(bz;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty} {}_1\phi_1\left(b; bz; q, az\right). $$

With the aid of this formula, we arrive at the generating function formula of the form

$$ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n t^n = \frac{1}{(1 - z^{-2}t)(t;q)_\infty} {}_1\phi_1\left(q; qz^{-2}t; q, qz^{-1}\xi^{-1}t\right) =: \Psi(t). \quad (49) $$

This formula is suitable for the application of Daurboux's method, see [18, Section 8.9]. Note that, if $|z| = 1$, the singularities of Ψ located most closely to the origin are $t = 1$ and $t = z^2$.

Proof of assertion (i): If $|z| = 1$, $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$, the function Ψ has two simple poles located on the unit circle at $t = 1$ and $t = z^2$. Thus, the application of Darboux's method with the comparison function

$$h(t) := \frac{a}{t-1} + \frac{b}{t-z^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-a - \frac{b}{z^{2n+2}} \right) t^n,$$

where

$$a = \operatorname{Res}(\Psi, 1) = -\frac{1}{(1-z^{-2})(q;q)_\infty} \, {}_1\phi_1 \left(q; qz^{-2}; q, qz^{-1}\xi^{-1} \right)$$

and

$$b = \operatorname{Res}\left(\Psi, z^2\right) = -\frac{z^2}{(z^2;q)_\infty} \, {}_0\phi_0 \left(-; -; q, qz\xi^{-1} \right) = -z^2 \frac{\left(qz\xi^{-1}; q\right)_\infty}{(z^2;q)_\infty},$$

results in the asymptotic formula

$$P_n = -a - \frac{b}{z^{2n+2}} + o(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Last equation together with (46), (47) and (48) yields the claim (i).

Proof of assertion (ii): Let $\xi \in (q, 1)$. For $z = 1$, the function Ψ has one pole at $t = 1$ of order 2. The application of Darboux's method with the comparison function

$$h(t) = \frac{a}{(t-1)^2} + \frac{b}{t-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(a(n+1) - b \right) t^n$$

where

$$a = \lim_{t \to 1} (t-1)^2 \Psi(t) = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \, {}_0\phi_0 \left(-; -; q, q\xi^{-1} \right) = \frac{\left(q\xi^{-1}; q\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty}$$

and

$$b = \operatorname{Res}\left(\Psi, 1\right),$$

yields

$$P_n = a(n+1) - b + o(1) = an + O(1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

This formula together with (46), (47) and (48) implies the expansion from the claim (ii) for $\xi \in (q, 1)$.

If $\xi = q$ and $z = 1$, then the first sum in (46) vanishes. Thus, it suffices to note that (47) equals 1, to obtain the second asymptotic expansion from the claim (ii).

Proof of assertion (iii): This derivation is again based on the method of Darboux applied to (49) with $z = -1$ and $\xi \in [q, 1)$. It follows similar steps as in the proof of the assertion (ii) with the only exception that the first sum in (46) never vanishes for $z = -1$. □

Proposition 25. *The following asymptotic formulas hold true for $n \to -\infty$.*

i) *If $\phi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\alpha \in (q, 1]$, then*

$$f_n(e^{i\phi}) = e^{-\mathrm{i}n\phi} A + e^{\mathrm{i}n\phi} B + o(1)$$

where

$$A = \frac{\left(q\alpha^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}; q\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \times \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}{2\sin\phi} \, {}_1\phi_1 \left(q; qe^{-2i\phi}; q, \alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} \right) + {}_1\phi_1 \left(q; q\alpha^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}; q, q\alpha^{-1}e^{\mathrm{i}\phi} \right) - 1 \right)$$

and

$$B = \frac{\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}; q\right)_\infty}.$$

ii) *If* $\alpha \in (q,1)$, *then*

$$f_n(1) = \frac{\theta_q(\alpha)}{(q;q)_\infty} n + O(1),$$

while for $\alpha = 1$, *one has*

$$f_n(1) = (q;q)_\infty + o(1).$$

iii) *If* $\alpha \in (q,1]$, *then*

$$f_n(-1) = \frac{\theta_q(-\alpha)}{(q;q)_\infty} n + O(1).$$

Proof. The proof readily follows from the formulas given in Lemma 24 by writing $-n$ instead of n, $\xi = q\alpha^{-1}$ and $z = e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$, and the definition (38). □

Proposition 26. *For all* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *and all* $x \in [-2,2]$, *there is no non-trivial solution of the second-order difference equation*

$$v_{n-1} + \left(\alpha q^{-n} - x\right) v_n + v_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

belonging to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. For $\alpha = 0$, the statement is a well-known fact. If $\alpha \neq 0$, it suffices to verify the statement for $\alpha \in (q,1]$.

First, assume $(\alpha, x) \in (q,1] \times [-2,2] \setminus \{(1,2)\}$. If we put $x = \mu(z)$ with $z = e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$, $\phi \in [0,\pi]$, then $(\alpha,\phi) \in (q,1] \times [0,\pi] \setminus (1,0)$ and, according to Proposition 23, the solutions $f(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$ and $g(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$ are linearly independent. Consequently, any solution of (36) is a linear combination of $f(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$ and $g(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$. However, Proposition 25 and relation (44) yield nor $f(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$, nor $g(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi})$, are square summable at $-\infty$.

In the remaining case, when $\alpha = 1$ and $x = 2$, the solutions $f(1)$ and $g(1)$ are linearly dependent. $f(1)$ is not square summable at $-\infty$ by claim (ii) of Proposition 25. Let y denotes the second linearly independent solution of (36) with $z = \alpha = 1$. Then the Wronskian $f_{n+1}(1)y_n - f_n(1)y_{n+1}$ is a nonzero constant. However, $f_n(1)$ tends to zero if $n \to \infty$, by (41). It follows, the solution y can not be bounded at $+\infty$. Consequently, no nontrivial linear combination of $f(1)$ and y does belong to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. □

3.3. **Spectrum of** B**.** Recall that we already know, by Proposition 21, that $\mathrm{spec}_{ess}(B) = [-2,2]$. In addition, as it follows from Proposition 26, the operator B has no eigenvalue embedded in the essential spectrum. In this subsection, we will show that there infinitely many simple eigenvalues located outside the essential spectrum and they will be determined fully explicitly. Moreover, we will find the corresponding eigenvectors and compute their norm.

Proposition 27. *If* $\alpha \neq 0$, *then*

$$\mathrm{spec}(B) \setminus [-2,2] = \mathrm{spec}_p(B) = \left\{\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m} \mid m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor\right\}$$

and all points from this set are simple eigenvalues of B. *In addition, eigenvectors* $\boldsymbol{v}_m$ *corresponding to eigenvalues* $\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m}$, $m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$, *can be chosen as* $\boldsymbol{v}_m = \{v_{m,j}\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$, *with*

$$v_{m,j} = (-1)^j \alpha^{-j} q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j+1)} \, {}_1\tilde{\phi}_1\left(0; q^{-m+j+1}; q, \alpha^{-2}q^{m+j+1}\right). \tag{50}$$

Proof. Proposition 26 tells us that $\mathrm{Ker}(B - x) = \{0\}$ for all $x \in [-2,2]$. By taking into account also Proposition 21, one arrives at the equality $\mathrm{spec}(B) \setminus [-2,2] = \mathrm{spec}_p(B)$. Next, we divide the proof into 3 parts.

1) Let $\alpha \in (q,1]$. Take $0 < |z| < 1$ such that $z \notin \alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{N}}$. By Proposition 23, any solution y of the equation (36) is a linear combination of the solutions f and g. In addition, by inspection of the asymptotic behavior of f_n and g_n, for $n \to \pm\infty$, one finds y can not be in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, unless $y \neq 0$. Thus, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(B - x) = \{0\}$ for all $x \notin \alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{N}} + \alpha q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

On the other hand, if $z \in \alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{N}}$, then the solutions f and g are linearly dependent since their Wronskian vanishes. Moreover, f_n is square summable at $+\infty$ and g_n is square summable at $-\infty$. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{Ker}(B - x)$ for all $x \in \alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{N}} + \alpha q^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Consequently, points from the set $\alpha^{-1}q^{\mathbb{N}} + \alpha q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ are all eigenvalues of B and there are no other eigenvalues of B.

Moreover, the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{v}_m$ corresponding to $\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, can be chosen such that

$$v_{m,j} = f_j\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z},\, m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{51}$$

2) Let $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists unique $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in (q, 1]$ such that $\alpha = q^{\Delta}\beta$. Namely,

$$\Delta = \lfloor \log_q \alpha \rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \alpha q^{-\lfloor \log_q \alpha \rfloor}.$$

Let U_Δ stands for the unitary operator determined by equalities $U_\Delta e_n = e_{n+\Delta}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then one has

$$U_\Delta^* B(\alpha) U_\Delta = B(\beta). \tag{52}$$

Consequently, taking into account the already proved part 1), we obtain

$$\operatorname{spec}_p(B(\alpha)) = \operatorname{spec}_p(B(\beta)) = \left\{\beta^{-1}q^n + \beta q^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} = \left\{\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m} \mid m > \Delta\right\}.$$

Further, by using (52) and (51), one finds the j-th element of the eigenvector of $B(\alpha)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m}$, $m > \Delta$, equals

$$\begin{aligned}\left(U_\Delta f\left(\alpha q^{-\Delta}; \alpha^{-1}q^m\right)\right)_j &= f_{j-\Delta}\left(\alpha q^{-\Delta}; \alpha^{-1}q^m\right) \\ &= (-1)^{j+\Delta}\alpha^{\Delta-j}q^{-\frac{1}{2}\Delta(\Delta+1)+\frac{1}{2}j(j+1)}\,{}_1\tilde{\phi}_1\left(0; q^{-m+j+1}; q, \alpha^{-2}q^{m+j+1}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where we temporarily designated the dependence of $f_n(z)$, given by (38), on α by writing $f_n(\alpha; z)$. To arrive at (50), it suffices to omit the multiplicative constant not depending on j in (53).

3) It remains to discuss the case $\alpha < 0$. However, the verification of the statement straightforwardly follows from the already proven part 2) and the fact that

$$V^* B(\alpha) V = -B(-\alpha)$$

where V is the unitary operator determined by equalities $Ve_n = (-1)^n e_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, the simplicity of eigenvalues is a general property which follows from the following. If u and v are two linearly independent solutions of (36) both from $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, their Wronskian $W(u, v) = u_{n+1}v_n - u_n v_{n+1}$ is a nonzero constant. However, at the same time, this expression tends to 0, as $n \to \infty$, which would lead to a contradiction. □

Next, we compute the norm of eigenvectors (50).

Proposition 28. *Let $\alpha \neq 0$. The eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{v}_m = \{v_{m,j}\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$, whose components are given by* (50)*, are normalized as follows:*

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}_m\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} = \frac{|\alpha|^{-m} q^{m(m+1)/2}}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha^{-2}q^{2m}}}\,(q; q)_\infty, \quad m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor. \tag{54}$$

Proof. The proof is divided into two parts. First, we derive a general formula for the norm of an eigenvector which is subsequently applied to our specific case.

Recall $f(x) = \{f_n(x)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $g(x) = \{g_n(x)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ are solutions of (36) and, for $0 < |x| < 1$, $f(x)$ is square summable at $+\infty$ and $g(x)$ is square summable at $-\infty$, as it follows from (41) and (44). By using the Green's formula, we obtain

$$(\mu(x) - \mu(y)) \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} g_j(x) g_j(y) = W_n(g(x), g(y)) - W_m(g(x), g(y)).$$

Since $g(x)$ is square summable at $-\infty$, for $0 < |x| < 1$, $W_m(g(x), g(y)) \to 0$, as $m \to -\infty$, if $|x| < 1$ and $|y| < 1$. Thus, by sending $m \to -\infty$ in the last equation, we arrive at the identity

$$(\mu(x) - \mu(y)) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} g_j(x) g_j(y) = W_n(g(x), g(y)).$$

Next, we divide both sides by $x - y$ and send $y \to x$ in the last equation. Since the sum on the LHS converges locally uniformly with the arguments x and y in the unit disk, we can interchange the limit and the sum and we get

$$\mu'(x) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} g_j^2(x) = W_n(g'(x), g(x)), \qquad \text{for } 0 < |x| < 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} g_j^2(x) = \frac{x^2}{x^2-1} W_n(g'(x), g(x)), \qquad \text{for } 0<|x|<1. \tag{55}$$

Similarly, since $f(x)$ is square summable at $+\infty$, by (41), one deduces that

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} f_j^2(x) = -\frac{x^2}{x^2-1} W_m(f'(x), f(x)), \qquad \text{for } 0<|x|<1. \tag{56}$$

If $\mu(x)$ is an eigenvalue of B, solutions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are linearly dependent. Thus, there exists a nonzero number $A = A(x)$ such that $g(x) = Af(x)$. By differentiating the Wronskian $W_n(f(x), g(x))$ and using equations (55) and (56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W'(f(x),g(x)) &= f'_{n+1}(x)g_n(x) + f_{n+1}(x)g'_n(x) - f'_n(x)g_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x)g'_{n+1}(x) \\ &= AW_n(f'(x),f(x)) - A^{-1}W_n(g'(x),g(x)) = \frac{1-x^2}{x^2}\left(A\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} f_j^2(x) + A^{-1}\sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} g_j^2(x)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by sending $n \to -\infty$, we arrive at the formula

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j^2(x) = \frac{x^2}{1-x^2} A^{-1} W'(f(x), g(x)), \qquad \text{for } 0<|x|<1. \tag{57}$$

The subscript n in the Wronskian has been omitted for it does not dependent on n.

In the second part of the proof, we evaluate the quantities A and $W'(f(x), g(x))$ in (57). Let $x = \alpha^{-1}q^m$, with $m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$ being fixed. Then $\mu(x)$ is an eigenvalue of B corresponding to eigenvector $\boldsymbol{v}_m$, where $v_{m,j} = f_j(\alpha^{-1}q^m)$, by Proposition 27.

First, we find A such that $g(\alpha^{-1}q^m) = Af(\alpha^{-1}q^m)$. Since for $k \geq m$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m) &= \alpha^k q^{-mk} \sum_{j=k-m}^{\infty} (q^{m-k+j+1};q)_\infty \frac{(-1)^j q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j+1)+2mj}}{(q;q)_j} \alpha^{-2j} \\ &= (-1)^{m+k} \alpha^{2m-k} q^{-\frac{1}{2}m(3m+1)+\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (q^{j+1};q)_\infty \frac{(-1)^j q^{\frac{1}{2}j(j+1)+(m+k)j}}{(q;q)_{k-m+j}} \alpha^{-2j}, \end{aligned}$$

one gets

$$g_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m) = (-1)^{m+k}\alpha^{2m-k} q^{-\frac{1}{2}m(3m+1)+\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)} \left(1 + o\left(1\right)\right), \quad k \to \infty.$$

By taking into account asymptotic formula (41) for $f_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m)$, one obtains

$$A = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{g_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m)}{f_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m)} = (-1)^m \alpha^{2m} q^{-\frac{1}{2}m(3m+1)}.$$

Second, by using (40), one readily verifies that

$$W'(f_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m), g_k(\alpha^{-1}q^m)) = (-1)^m \alpha^2 q^{-\frac{1}{2}m(m+3)} (q;q)_\infty^2.$$

Identity (54) then follows from the general formula (57). □

3.4. **The spectral resolution of B.** The aim of this subsection is to provide an explicit formula for an arbitrary matrix element of the spectral measure of B, i.e., the measure

$$E_{k,l}(\cdot) := \langle e_k, E_B(\cdot) e_l \rangle, \quad k,l \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where E_B stands for the projection-valued spectral measure of the self-adjoint operator B.

From Proposition 27, one deduces that the measure $E_{k,l}$ restricted to the Borel sets located outside the interval $[-2,2]$ is discrete supported on the eigenvalues $\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m}$, $m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$. Taking also into account Proposition 28, one gets

$$E_{k,l}\left(\{\alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m}\}\right) = \frac{v_{m,k}v_{m,l}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_m\|^2} = \left(1-\alpha^{-2}q^{2m}\right) \frac{\alpha^{2m} q^{-m(m+1)}}{(q;q)_\infty^2} f_k\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right) f_l\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right), \tag{58}$$

for $k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$.

It remains to determine the part of the spectral measure within the essential spectrum of B. The approach is based on an expression for the Green function

$$G_{k,l}(z) := \langle e_k, (B-z)^{-1} e_l \rangle, \quad z \notin \operatorname{spec}(B),$$

which, together with the Stieltjes-Perron inversion formula, allow to express the spectral measure from the Green function as

$$E_{k,l}((a,b)) = \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} \left(G_{k,l}(x + \mathrm{i}\epsilon) - G_{k,l}(x - \mathrm{i}\epsilon) \right) \mathrm{d}x, \tag{59}$$

for any open interval $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, see [9, Thm. XII.2.10].

First, we will need the following connection formula.

Lemma 29. *For all $z \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has*

$$f_n(z) = \frac{\theta_q\left(\alpha z^{-1}\right)}{\theta_q\left(z^{-2}\right)} g_n(z) + \frac{\theta_q\left(\alpha z\right)}{\theta_q\left(z^{2}\right)} g_n\left(z^{-1}\right)$$

where f_n and g_n are given by (38) *and* (39).

Proof. Let us denote $\tilde{g}_n(z) := g_n\left(z^{-1}\right)$ as in Remark 22. With the aid of the asymptotic formula (44), one verifies

$$W(g, \tilde{g}) = z^{-1} \theta_q\left(z^2\right).$$

Thus, for $z \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \cup \{0\}$, $g(z)$ and $\tilde{g}(z)$ are two linearly independent solutions of (36). Consequently, there exist coefficients $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ such that

$$f_n(z) = A(z) g_n(z) + B(z) \tilde{g}_n(z), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{60}$$

Further, since $f_n(z) = f_n\left(z^{-1}\right)$, see Remark 22, from the identity (60), it follows

$$\left(A(z) - B\left(z^{-1}\right)\right) g_n(z) = \left(A\left(z^{-1}\right) - B(z)\right) \tilde{g}_n(z), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, $B(z) = A\left(z^{-1}\right)$, by the linear independence of $g(z)$ and $\tilde{g}(z)$. Finally, by assuming $|z| < 1$ in (60), sending $n \to -\infty$ and using formulas (43) and (44), one obtains

$$A(z) = \frac{\theta_q\left(\alpha z^{-1}\right)}{\theta_q\left(z^{-2}\right)}.$$

Hence, the identity from the statement is established for $|z| < 1$. Since the formula is invariant under exchange $z \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$, it remains true also for $|z| > 1$. Finally, the validity of the identity on the unit circle $|z| = 1$ follows from the continuity in z. □

Assume $0 < |z| < 1$. Recall that $f_n(z)$, given in (38), is the solution of (36) which is square summable at $+\infty$, as it follows from (41). Similarly, $g_n(z)$, given in (39), is another solution of (36) which is square summable at $-\infty$, as it follows from (44). Consequently, the operator $G\left(\mu(z)\right)$ whose matrix elements are defined by

$$G_{k,l}\left(\mu(z)\right) = \frac{1}{W(f,g)} \begin{cases} g_k(z) f_l(z), & k \le l, \\ g_l(z) f_k(z), & k \ge l, \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

for $0 < |z| < 1$ and $z \neq \alpha^{-1} q^m$, $m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$, coincides with the resolvent operator $\left(B - \mu(z)\right)^{-1}$.

Proposition 30. *Let $\alpha \neq 0$ and $-2 \le a < b \le 2$. Then for any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, it holds*

$$E_{k,l}\left([a,b]\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\phi_b}^{\phi_a} f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) \left| \frac{\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}; q\right)_\infty}{\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}, q\alpha^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}; q\right)_\infty} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\phi \tag{62}$$

where $\phi_a = \arccos(a/2)$ and $\phi_b = \arccos(b/2)$. Consequently, $\operatorname{spec}_{ac}(B) = [-2, 2]$.

Proof. Since $E_{k,l}(\cdot) = E_{l,k}(\cdot)$, we may assume $k \le l$ throughout the proof. The derivation is based on the calculation of the integrand in the Stieltjes-Perron formula (59). First, we investigate the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \left(G_{k,l}\left(\mu(z) + \mathrm{i}\epsilon\right) - G_{k,l}\left(\mu(z) - \mathrm{i}\epsilon\right) \right). \tag{63}$$

for $\mu(z) \in (-2, 2)$.

Note that, if $\mu(z)$ approaches $2\cos\phi$, for $\phi \in (0,\pi)$, from the lower half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z < 0\}$, then z approaches the point $e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$ from the interior of the unit disk. Consequently, one verifies that

$$\lim_{\substack{\mu(z)\to 2\cos\phi \\ \operatorname{Im}\mu(z)<0}} f_n(z) = f_n\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)$$

while, by taking complex conjugates, that

$$\lim_{\substack{\mu(z)\to 2\cos\phi \\ \operatorname{Im}\mu(z)>0}} f_n(z) = f_n\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right).$$

Analogous formulas hold for the function $g_n(z)$. By Remark 22, one has $f_n\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) = f_n\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)$. Note, however, that this is not true in the case of the second solution $g_n(x)$.

Now, we can calculate the limit (63). By using (61), (40) together with the above limit relations, one gets

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+}\left(G_{k,l}\left(2\cos\phi+\mathrm{i}\epsilon\right)-G_{k,l}\left(2\cos\phi-\mathrm{i}\epsilon\right)\right) = f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\left[-\frac{g_k\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}+\frac{g_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}\right]$$

$$= \frac{f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{\left|\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\right|^2}\left[-e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)g_k\left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)+e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)g_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\right]$$

By applying Lemma 29 together with (16), the above expression in the square brackets can be further simplified and one arrives at the relation

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0+}\left(G_{k,l}\left(2\cos\phi+\mathrm{i}\epsilon\right)-G_{k,l}\left(2\cos\phi-\mathrm{i}\epsilon\right)\right) = -\frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{\left|\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\right|^2} f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right), \tag{64}$$

for $\phi \in (0,\pi)$.

Hence for any $-2 < a < b < 2$ we may use the Lebesgue dominating convergence theorem and interchange the limit $\epsilon \to 0+$ and the integral in the formula (59) which together with a change of variables and (64) yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k,l}((a,b)) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\phi_b}^{\phi_a} f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\frac{\mathrm{i}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi}\theta_q\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)}{\left|\theta_q\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\right|^2}\sin\phi\,\mathrm{d}\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\phi_b}^{\phi_a} f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\left|\frac{\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}{\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}, q\alpha^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}\right|^2\mathrm{d}\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the statement follows by verifying that the end points ± 2 are not eigenvalues of B. This, however, has been shown in Proposition 27. □

By putting together the discrete part of the spectral measure (58) with the absolutely continuous part (62), one arrives at the following statement.

Theorem 31. *Let $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel set. Then for all $k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathcal{A}_c} f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right)\left|\frac{\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}{\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}, q\alpha^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}\right|^2\mathrm{d}\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^2}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{A}_d}\left(1-\alpha^{-2}q^{2m}\right)\alpha^{2m}q^{-m(m+1)} f_l\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right) f_k\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \{\phi \in [0,\pi] \mid 2\cos\phi \in [-2,2]\cap\mathcal{A}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_d = \{m > \lfloor \log|\alpha| \rfloor \mid \alpha^{-1}q^m + \alpha q^{-m} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

3.5. **Consequences for the third Jackson q-Bessel function.** Recall the third Jackson q-Bessel function is defined as

$$J_\nu(z;q) := \frac{z^\nu}{(q;q)_\infty}\,{}_1\tilde{\phi}_1\left(0;q^{\nu+1};q,qz^2\right),$$

see, for example, [15, 22]. In addition, we have the relation [22, Eq. 3.2.12]

$$J_n(z;q) = (-1)^n q^{-n/2} J_{-n}\left(zq^{-n/2};q\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

These two formulas allow us to express the elements of the eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{v}_m$, given by (50), in the form

$$v_{m,j} = (-1)^m \alpha^{-m} q^{m(m+1)/2} (q;q)_\infty \, J_{m-j}\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m;q\right), \tag{65}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$.

Since $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_n, \boldsymbol{v}_m \rangle = \|\boldsymbol{v}_m\|^2 \delta_{m,n}$, for $m, n > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$, identities (65) and (54) yield the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} J_{j+m}\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m;q\right) J_{j+n}\left(\alpha^{-1}q^n;q\right) = \frac{\delta_{m,n}}{1-\alpha^{-2}q^{2m}}, \tag{66}$$

for $m, n > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. In particular, if $m = n$, one has

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} J_j^2\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m;q\right) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{-2}q^{2m}}.$$

Since $\{\alpha^{-1}q^m \mid \alpha \neq 0 \wedge m > \lfloor \log_q |\alpha| \rfloor\} = (-1,1)\setminus\{0\}$, we arrive at the summation formula

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} J_j^2\left(x;q\right) = \frac{1}{1-x^2} \tag{67}$$

where $x \in (-1,1)\setminus\{0\}$. However, the validity of (67) can be extended to $|x| < 1$ since the sum on the LHS of (67) converges locally uniformly on $|x| < 1$, and the analyticity argument applies.

The summation formula (67) as well as the orthogonality relation (66) follow from a more general identity derived by Koornwinder and Swarttouw in [15]. Namely, one obtains (67) by putting $m = n = 0$, $s = q^{-1}$ and $z = q^{1/2}x$ in [15, Eq. (4.8)], while (66) follows from [15, Eq. (4.7)] by setting $s = q^{-1}$, $x = q^{m+1/2}\alpha^{-1}$ and $y = q^{n+1/2}\alpha^{-1}$.

Let us point out that (67) is a q-analogue to the the well known identity for Bessel functions of the first kind [1, Eq. 9.1.76]

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} J_j^2(z) = 1, \tag{68}$$

since by putting $x = (1-q)z$ in (67) and sending $q \to 1-$, one arrives at (68). Let us also remark that Koornwinder and Swarttouw found another q-analogue to (68), namely

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} q^j J_j^2\left(z;q\right) = 1, \quad |z| < 1,$$

as it follows from [15, Eq. (2.10)] by putting $m = n = 0$ and writing $q^{1/2}z$ instead of z.

Finally, if we put $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 31 we obtain the orthogonality relation for the confluent basic hypergeometric series as in (38),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi f_l\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) f_k\left(e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}\right) \left|\frac{\left(e^{2\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}{\left(\alpha e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}, q\alpha^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi};q\right)_\infty}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\phi \\ + \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^2} \sum_{m>\lfloor \log|\alpha|\rfloor} \left(1-\alpha^{-2}q^{2m}\right)\alpha^{2m}q^{-m(m+1)} f_l\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right) f_k\left(\alpha^{-1}q^m\right) = \delta_{l,k},\end{aligned}$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Note that the absolutely continuous part of the measure in the above integral coincides with the Askey–Wilson measure with a particular choice of parameters, namely $a = \alpha$, $b = \alpha^{-1}q$ and $c = d = 0$, see [10, Chp. 6] and [5].

Acknowledgements

Research of Mourad E. H. Ismail was partially supported by the DSFP of King Saud University and by the National Plan for Science, Technology and innovation (MAARIFAH), King Abdelaziz City for Science and Technology, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Award number 14-MAT623-02. F. Štampach thanks to Professor Tom Koornwinder for pointing out the connection between results of [15] and formulas (66) and (67).

References

[1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun: *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, (U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964).

[2] N. I. Akhiezer: *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis*, (Oliver and Boyed, Edinburgh, 1965).

[3] W. A. Al-Salam, M. E. H. Ismail: *Orthogonal polynomials associated with the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction*, Pac. J. Math. **104** (1983) 269–283.

[4] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy: *Special Functions*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).

[5] R. Askey, J. Wilson: *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1985) iv+55 pp.

[6] Ju. M. Berezans'kiĭ: *Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators*, (Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1968).

[7] L. Carlitz: *Some formulas related to the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Annali di Math. (IV) **47** (1959) 243–251.

[8] Y. Chen, M. E. H. Ismail: *Some indeterminate moment problems and Freud-like weights*, Constr. Approx. **14** (1998) 439–458.

[9] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz: *Linear operators. Part II: Spectral theory. Self adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, (Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1963).

[10] G. Gasper, M. Rahman: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, (second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).

[11] K. Garrett, M. E. H. Ismail, D. Stanton: *Variants of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Advances in Applied Math. **23** (1999), 274–299.

[12] M. E. H. Ismail: *Asymptotics of q-orthogonal polynomials and a q-Airy function*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **18** (2005) 1063–1088.

[13] M. E. H. Ismail: *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in one Variable*, (paperback edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[14] M. E. H. Ismail, F. S. Mulla: *On the generalized Chebyshev polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987) 243–258.

[15] T. H. Koornwinder, R. F. Swarttouw: *On q-analogues of the Fourier and Hankel transforms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.**333** (1992) 445–461.

[16] D. R. Masson, J. Repka: *Spectral theory of Jacobi matrices* $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ *and the* $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$ *Lie algebra*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **22** (1991) 1131–1146.

[17] D. F. Lawden: *Elliptic Functions and Applications*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).

[18] F. W. J. Olver: *Asymptotics and special functions*, (A. K. Peters Ltd., Wellesley, 1997).

[19] S. Ramanujan: *The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers* (with an Introduction by G.E. Andrews), Narosa, New Delhi (1988).

[20] I. Schur: *Ein Beitrag zur Additiven Zahlentheorie und zur Theorie Kettenbrüche*, S.-B. Preuss, Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl. (1917) 302–321.

[21] F. Štampach: *Nevanlinna extremal measures for polynomials related to* q^{-1}*-Fibonacci polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math. **78** (2016) 56–75.

[22] R. F. Swarttouw: *On Hahn-Exton q-Bessel function*, (Ph. D. thesis, Delft Technical University, 1992).

[23] G. Teschl: *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, (AMS, Rhode Island, 2000).

[24] J. Weidmann: *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, (Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980).

(Mourad E. H. Ismail) Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida 32816, USA & Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: mourad.eh.ismail@gmail.com

(František Štampach) Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Kräftriket 5, SE - 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: stampach@math.su.se